

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,078	01/26/2004	Charles L. Vance	VAN101 8251	
7590 03/21/2005			EXAMINER	
John E. Vandigriff			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
Suite 200 190 N. Stemmons Frwy			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Lewisville, TX 75067			3711	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4)
----

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/764,078	VANCE, CHARLES L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vishu K Mendiratta	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De	ecember 2004.	•				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	• • •	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No Id in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/764,078

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keener (5513848) in view of Jones (4003578).

Keener teaches a board game path with squares (2,6,7,8,9), lure cards (3) with location, fish specific lure cards (4) with location, game pieces (Fig.2), tackle shop and boat ramp (6), parking lot (5) and dice (Fig.3). Keener further teaches a space defining losing a lure due to a fish (1: 29-36).

Keener teaches all limitations except that it does not expressly teach multiple paths.

Keener does teach that the path can be any shape or configuration keeping the game within spirit and scope (2:19-22).

Jones teaches a game surface with entire surface /grid as fishing area and can be treated as multiple paths (Fig.1) for the convenience if a large group of players are playing the game.

In order to make the game surface available for a large number of players, it would have been obvious to use multiple paths.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested providing multiple paths for a larger group of players.

Note: rules for playing as in claims 7,14,15,16 do not further limit the apparatus in the claims.

Claims 2,9: Keener teaches all limitations except that it does not expressly indicate a space defining a weight of fish and a lost lure. Keener however inherently teaches a fish

Art Unit: 3711

that is big enough to cause a player loose its rod (reference character 9) (obviously with the lure) indicates a fish having certain weight that must have been overwhelming and not suitable for that kind of fish.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested relating a fish weight to the lure that would be lost if used.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

VKM March 14, 2005